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1. **Introduction**

Ethos Academy Trust takes seriously its duty of care towards pupils, employees and visitors. Touch is a sensitive issue requiring careful judgement. In order to safeguard both children and staff, the school aims to provide clear guidance and appropriate training within the resources that can reasonably be made available.

This policy has a clear focus.

1. The paramount consideration to safeguard the welfare of the child
2. to safeguard the welfare of staff and others working in schools/settings and services who act in good faith   
     
      
     
   *The Children Act 1989* places a duty upon staff to consider the welfare of the child first and for the welfare of the child to take precedence when practical over every other consideration. All physical interventions, including restraint, are conducted within a framework of positive behaviour management. The Trust’s behaviour policy underpin school policy and are intended to reward effort and application, encouraging pupils to develop an understanding and take responsibility for improving their own behaviour.   
     
      
     
   Preventative approaches to risk reduction involve identifying and communicating early warning signs, situations, settings and factors which may influence behaviour, then taking steps to divert behaviours which lead towards foreseeable risk. When appropriate, pupils are encouraged to participate in their own Support to Self-Regulate Plans by focusing on positive alternatives and choices. Parents and carers are also encouraged to contribute. Pupils with severe behavioural difficulties sometimes present a risk to themselves and others. Staff encouraged to implement de-escalation strategies according to Team Teach practice. *Section 93 of the Education & Inspections Act 2006 (EIA2006)* describes the circumstances in which teachers and others, authorised by the Head of School, may use reasonable force to control or restrain pupils. Examples of when such action may be reasonable are; preventing personal injury, damage to property, the breakdown of discipline or committing a criminal offence.   
     
      
     
   *Section 95 (EIA2006)* defines the Staff to which this power applies.   
     
   *The Children’s Act 2004* places a duty on key partners to cooperate in the safeguarding of children. Where children receive a variety of services from the local authority, every effort will be made to ensure that these are coordinated. Risk Assessments and Positive Handling Plans/ Support to Self-Regulate Plans will be shared with all key partners, who will cooperate to provide consistent approaches to meet the needs of individual children. Any professionals or parent(s)/ carer(s) wishing to view our schools behaviour policies are welcome to do so as they are available on our school website https://www.enrichacademy.uk.com/

**Glossary**   
  
**Positive Handling**   
  
  
  
The term “Positive Handling” includes a wide range of supportive strategies for managing challenging behaviour. Included in this framework are a smaller number of responses which involve the use of force to control or restrain a pupil. The term “restraint” is used whenever force is used to overcome active resistance.   
  
   
  
**De-escalation Strategies**

1. Adaptions to the physical environment / Change of face
2. Use of space
3. Body language
4. Facial expressions
5. Volume, pitch, pace and tone of voice
6. Choice of words   
     
   **Physical Contact (Contingent Touch)**
7. Reassuring touch
8. Physical prompts and guides
9. Holding to reassure where there is little, if any, active resistance
10. Unobtrusive personal safety responses to low level risks   
      
    **Restraint / Restrictive Physical Interventions (The positive application of force with the intention of overpowering a person)**
11. Holding someone who is actively resisting to prevent them putting themselves and others at risk of significant harm
12. Holding someone who is actively resisting to reduce the risk of pain or injury
13. Holding someone who is actively resisting to reduce the risk of damage to property
14. Holding someone who is actively resisting to prevent the commission of a criminal offence
15. Moving someone who is actively resisting into a reduced risk environment
16. Holding / moving someone whose actions are or leading to a breakdown in discipline
17. Preventing a person who is actively resisting from moving into an increased risk environment   
      
       
      
    **2. The Legal Framework**   
      
      
      
    **2.1 The Best Interest Principle**   
      
    The overriding principle relating to positive handling is that the welfare of the child takes precedence over every other consideration. The first line of the first paragraph of the Children Act 1989 in the UK states that the welfare of the child should be paramount consideration. Paramount in this context means that it is the first thing people should think about and it should take precedence over every other consideration.   
      
       
      
    **2.2 Duty of Care**   
      
    The term ‘duty of care’ is an important legal term. Anyone who is lawfully authorised, including volunteers etc.) to work with children, has a duty of care. Schools owe a duty of care to their pupils. ‘Negligence’ involves a breach of that duty and has three main elements:
18. Firstly, there must be a duty of care
19. Secondly there must be a breach of that duty of care
20. Thirdly there must be some ensuing damage or injury related to that breach   
      
    We do not need to wait for damage or injury. A responsible approach is to anticipate what could go wrong and try to prevent it. A breach of duty of care may involve either taking unreasonable action or failing to take reasonable action to prevent harm to another person (Commission or Omission).   
      
       
      
    As the statutory power to use force is held by individual members of staff, no school should have a policy of *no physical contact,* because this could make staff feel deprived of that power, or hinder their exercise of it. (EIA 2006)   
      
    Health and Safety legislation requires that employers also have a duty of care towards their employees. It would be negligent of an employer not to provide the time and resources for appropriate training. It would be negligent of an employee not to access training when it is offered, or to assess information when it is available.   
      
       
      
    **2.3 The Education and Inspections Act 2006**   
      
    Section 93 describes the circumstances in which teachers and others who are authorised by the Head Teacher may use reasonable force to control or restrain pupils.   
      
    As a school the Head of School and the schools’ Senior Leadership Team Teach Tutor hold a database of staff that are trained in Team Teach. This database is updated regularly to reflect ongoing training provided. All teaching and support staff access Team Teach training within their induction period.   
      
       
      
    **2.4 Violent Crime Reduction Act**   
      
    Section 45 allows reasonable force to be used to search pupils without their consent for weapons.   
      
    NB. The Department for Children, Schools and Families strongly advises schools not to search pupils where resistance is expected, but rather to call the police.   
      
       
      
    **2.5 Restraint**   
      
    The term ‘Physical Restraint’ is used when force is used to overcome active resistance.   
      
    These are referred to as “Restrictive Physical Interventions’ in national Guidance (DfES/DoH 2002).   
      
      
      
    Team Teach definition:  
      
    ‘Physical restraint involves direct physical contact where the intention is to prevent, restrict, or subdue movement of the body or part of another person.’  
      
       
      
    A clear and consistent positive handling policy supports pupils who have behavioural, emotional and social difficulties within an ethos of mutual respect, care and safety. All members of school staff have a legal power to use reasonable force (Section 93, Education and Inspections Act 2006).

**2.6 Risk Assessment and Risk Reduction**   
  
Health and Safety legislation applies to children who may present a risk to themselves or others. Wherever a risk can reasonably be foreseen there must be an assessment of the risk and a plan to reduce the risk. It is not always possible to eliminate risk, but staff have to be able to show that they have attempted to reduce it. Children who present a foreseeable risk have a positive handling plan included within their Support to Self-Regulate Plan. Staff likely to meet a child presenting a risk are given guidance and training to enable them to assess and reduce the risk.   
  
   
  
**2.7 Reasonable and Proportionate**   
  
Common law hangs on the word ‘reasonable’ in the context of physical interventions, yet it changes meaning according to the circumstances of each case. What determines the reasonableness of a particular intervention is often governed by whether or not it was ‘proportionate’. The degree of force used should be a minimum to achieve the desired result. Good training provides techniques which rely on a combination of psychology and biomechanics to reduce the amount of force required.   
  
   
  
**2.8 Absolutely Necessary**   
  
The United Kingdom adopted the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child in 1991 and incorporated European human rights legislation into the legal framework. Sometimes staff are obliged to take actions which would in other circumstances be unreasonable or even illegal. In normal life people do not touch other people unless invited, interfere with their property, move them from place to place or restrict their movement. Yet for school staff there may be times when such actions are reasonable and necessary. If a member of staff takes an action which could be seen as restricting the child’s human rights, for it to be legal it must be ‘absolutely necessary’.   
  
There are times when those with a duty of care believe that they must act to protect the interests of the child. If they fail to act and, as a result, negligently allow a child to come to harm, they could be liable for any damage which ensues. When people are honest in their attempts to do the right thing they are said to be acting in ‘good faith’. Staff who act in good faith, in the best interests of those for whom they have a duty of care, deserve support.   
  
   
  
**2.9 Lawful Defences**   
  
Rather than focus on preventing staff from taking any action which could possibly result in accusations, which could result in staff not taking any action at all, we should focus on *lawful defence.* There are times when staff do need to take action, and failing to act could itself lead to a charge of negligence. The focus should be on why it was necessary for a member of staff to take action in a particular circumstance. The best lawful defence is that it was necessary to protect the interests of the child. The clearest lawful justification is that the actions of staff are reasonable, proportionate and in the best interests of the young person. Under Human Rights legislation they should be ‘absolutely necessary’. The law also recognises that people make honest mistakes. A common law defence could be offered whenever a person acts reasonably in good faith.   
  
   
  
**2.10 Key Questions**   
  
It can help staff to maintain their focus on values and principles by keeping three questions in mind whenever they consider using force to control a child’s behaviour. It may be true that staff are legally empowered to use force to prevent injury, damage, the commission of criminal offences or even to prevent serious disruption. However, rather than focus on the rights of staff it is better to focus on the rights and interest of the child. Staff should be able to answer the three key questions:

1. **How was this intervention in the best interests of the child?**
2. **Why was it absolutely necessary?**
3. **How was it reasonable and appropriate?**   
     
     
     
   **2.11 Reasonable and Proportionate**   
     
   Any response to extreme behaviour should be reasonable and proportionate. Staff must not react in anger. If they feel they are becoming angry they should consider withdrawing to allow someone else to deal with the situation. It is always unlawful to use force as a punishment. Where staff act in good faith, and their actions are reasonable and proportionate they will be supported.   
     
      
     
   When physical controls are considered staff should think about the answers to the following questions:
4. **Is this in the best interests of the pupil?**
5. **Is a less intrusive intervention not preferable?**
6. **Do we have to act now?**
7. **Am I the best person to be doing this?**
8. **Is this absolutely necessary?**   
     
     
     
   If staff can answer these questions it is more likely that a physical intervention will be judged to be reasonable and proportionate. Whenever a physical intervention has to be made it is preferable to use a verbal warning. Where possible staff should always attempt to use diversion and diffusion in preference to physical interventions. They should only use the techniques and methods approved for use in our Trust. In general, if staff act in good faith, and their actions are reasonable and proportionate they will be supported.   
     
      
     
      
     
      
     
   **2.12 Unreasonable Use of Force**   
     
   It is not reasonable to use force simply to enforce compliance in circumstances where there is no risk. Nor is it reasonable to use any force than is necessary to achieve a reduction in risk.   
     
   Under no circumstances should pain be deliberately inflicted, or should pupils be deliberately subjected to undignified or humiliating treatment (this should not be confused with unavoidable discomfort associated with some approved techniques for disengaging from assaults such as bites and grabs). Other than a one-off emergency measure to protect health and safety, force should never be used to keep a pupil secluded. **Seclusion** is only lawful by specific court order in a licensed secure unit.   
     
      
     
   **3. Health and Safety**   
     
     
     
   If hazardous behaviour presents a significant risk of injury to people, there is a Health and Safety issue to be addressed. Hazardous behaviour should be regarded just as seriously as hazardous equipment. Dangerous occurrences should be reported to the person responsible for Health and Safety in the school on behalf of the employer. We all have a shared responsibility to identify risk, communicate potential risks and take steps to reduce risk whenever possible. We recognise that it is not possible to entirely remove risk. In some circumstances we have to try to think through the outcomes of the options available, balance the risks and choose whatever course of action seems to involve the least risk.   
     
      
     
   As a minimum requirement, in order to comply with Health and Safety legislation, each employee has a responsibility to ensure that they are conversant with school policy and guidance, and to cooperate to make the school safer. The DCSF advise that, as part of the induction period, staff are explicitly informed of their responsibilities in relation to the school policy on the Use of Force. Staff are also required to participate in suitable training if they are directed to do so, subject to a satisfactory health assessment this does not necessarily mean that all staff can be involved in physical activities. The non-physical aspects of positive handling training are crucially important too.   
     
      
     
   When considering a pupil’s behaviour staff should think about the following questions:
9. **Can we anticipate a Health and Safety risk related to this pupil’s behaviour?**
10. **Have we got all the information we need to conduct a risk assessment?**
11. **Have we produced a written plan as a result?**
12. **What further steps can we take to prevent dangerous behaviour from developing?**   
      
      
      
    **3.1 Risk Assessment**   
      
    In addition to formal risk assessments*,*dynamicrisk assessments should be a routine for staff working with pupils who may exhibit extreme behaviour. Responsible staff should think ahead to anticipate what might go wrong. If a proposed activity or course of action involves unacceptable risk the correct decision is to do something else.   
      
       
      
    Factors which might influence a more immediate risk assessment, and therefore a decision about how to intervene, might include:
13. The state of health and fitness of the staff member
14. Physical stature, competence, confidence, experience and relationships with the pupils concerned.   
      
    Confidence and competence are often related to the level of staff training. Other than in an emergency, staff should only attempt physical controls when they are confident that such an action will result in a reduction of risk. When faced by extreme behaviour, or even in a fight situation, judgement may be that, by becoming physically involved, the member of staff will increase the chance of somebody getting hurt. In this case the correct decision is to hold back from physical controls. However this does not mean that staff can do nothing. There are things that the person can do. They can make the environment safer, give clear direction to pupils, remove the audience and get help.   
      
       
      
    **3.2 Positive Handling Plans / Support to Self-Regulate Plans / Sensory Plans**   
      
    Risk assessment is an integral part of positive behaviour management planning. All pupils who have been identified as presenting a risk have a Positive Handling Plan as part of their Support to Self-Regulate Plan. The plan details the settings and situations which increase risk. It also details any strategies which have been found to be effective for that individual, along with any particular responses which are not recommended. If particular physical techniques have been found to be effective these are also named, along with alerts to any which have proved ineffective or which caused problems in the past. Plans should take account of sex, level of physical, emotional and intellectual development, special need and social context.   
      
       
      
    A Support to Self-Regulate Plan supports de-escalation. When noticing a change in a pupil’s response, staff use supportive strategies and guides to enable calming and therefore reducing the need for restrictive physical interventions. These plans are tailored to a pupil’s individual needs   
      
       
      
    **3.3 Responding to Unforeseen Emergencies**   
      
    Even the best planning systems cannot cover every eventuality and the school recognises that there are unforeseen or emergency situations in which staff have to conduct dynamic risk assessments. Again, the key principles are that any physical intervention should be:
15. **In the best interest of the child**
16. **Reasonable and proportionate**
17. **Intended to reduce risk**
18. **The least intrusive and restrictive of those options which are likely to be effective**
19. **Staff should avoid touching or restraining a pupil in a way that could be interpreted as sexual or inappropriate conduct** **other than exceptional circumstances where there is risk to life (or other significant instances).**  
      
       
      
      
      
    **4. Training**   
      
      
      
    All staff who are expected to use planned physical interventions are trained.   
      
    Our positive handling training is provided by our in-house qualified tutors and within rigorous guidelines. Our preferred approach is for whole staff team training in ‘Team Teach’.   
      
    Team Teach trainers attend a 5-day course that is re-accredited every 12 months. Staff re-accredit within a 3-year period. De-escalation and practical training are delivered by Team Teach trainers regularly for all staff adhering to the requirements of level two training.  
      
       
      
    The level of training required is kept under review and may change in response to the needs of the pupils. New staff will receive Level Two Team Teach training from a qualified instructor as part of their induction period. Once trained, staff may need to practise regularly under the guidance of tutors. Staff should not modify techniques without the express agreement from George Matthews (Director of Team Teach). It is also recognised that staff may respond with a technique from outside their training framework. This does not automatically render the use of this technique improper, unacceptable or unlawful. Again, it must be judged on whether it is reasonable, proportionate and necessary in those circumstances.   
      
       
      
    **5. Recording**   
      
       
      
    Whenever overpowering force is used the incident **must** be recorded.   
      
       
      
    Any restraint should be recorded on the School Management Information System, including all antecedents. All staff (where practicable) involved in an incident should contribute to the records which should be completed within 24 hours.   
      
       
      
    Serious incident reports should not be completed until the individuals concerned have recovered from the immediate effects of the incident. Names should be completed in full (including those of all witnesses). These records will be retained for 75 years. Incidents are recorded on the school system Arbor. If applicable you should refer to supporting incident sheets such as Support to self-regulate plans and pastoral support plans and other relevant information.   
       
      
    **6. Monitoring and Evaluation**   
      
       
      
    The Senior Leadership Team will ensure that each incident is reviewed and instigate further action as required. All incidents are monitored to ensure effective early interventions are implemented to reduce the need for physical intervention.   
      
       
      
       
      
    **7. Positive Behaviour Management**   
      
       
      
    The behaviour principles [www.eat.uk.com/about/pcan](http://www.eat.uk.com/about/pcan) and core aims of ETHOS Academy Trust are intended to reward effort and application and encourage pupils to develop an understanding and take responsibility for improving their own behaviour. Part of any preventative approach to risk reduction involves looking for early warning signs, communicating any factors which may influence behaviour and taking steps to divert behaviours which might lead towards foreseeable risk. All this information is held within each pupil’s Support to Self-Regulate Plan.   
      
       
      
    **7.1 Alternatives to Physical Controls /De-escalation**  
      
    A member of staff who chooses not to make a physical intervention can still take effective action to reduce risk. They can:
20. Show care and concern by acknowledging unacceptable behaviour
21. Request alternatives using negotiation and reason
22. Give clear directions to the pupil to stop
23. Remind them about rules and likely outcomes
24. Remove an audience or take vulnerable pupils to a safer place
25. Make the environment safer by moving furniture
26. Make the environment safer by removing objects which could be used as weapons
27. Use positive touch to guide or escort pupils to somewhere less pressured
28. Ensure that colleagues know what is happening
29. Get help   
      
       
      
    **7.2 Modifications to the Environment**   
      
    Ideally staff will not be waiting until a crisis is underway before conducting a risk assessment of the environment. We know that some pupils exhibit extreme and possibly dangerous behaviours. In general, it is a good rule to keep the environment clutter free. This may mean considering secure storage for a range of everyday objects when they are not used. For example:
30. What are the seating arrangements/?
31. How is the availability of pointed implements controlled? *(including pens, pencils, compasses etc.)*
32. What small objects are available to be used as missiles?
33. What objects are available to be used as blunt objects?
34. Do they all need to be left out all the time?
35. Are there sharp edges or corners which present a risk?
36. Are the design and arrangements of furniture safe?
37. Is the choice of furniture appropriate for pupils who exhibit extreme behaviour?
38. Is there a comfortable and safe place to sit with an agitated pupil?
39. Are protocols in place to encourage angry pupils to take themselves to a safer place?
40. Is there somewhere safe for pupils to be taken?   
      
       
      
    **7.3 Help Protocols**   
      
    All staff have a responsibility to support each other. This means that staff offer help and accept it. Help does not always mean taking over. Examples of appropriate help include: getting someone else, supporting a differing group, acting as a critical friend and ‘checking back in’ as appropriate.   
      
    Good communication is necessary. Staff use agreed scripts so that all parties understand what sort of assistance is required and what is available.   
      
       
      
    The preferred help protocol for the Ethos Academy Trust is: **“More help/support is available”**   
      
       
      
    **7.4 Well Chosen Words**   
      
    A well-chosen word can sometimes avert an escalating crisis. When pupils are becoming dysregulated there is no point in getting into an argument. Repeatedly telling people to calm down can actually wind them up. Pointing out what people have already done wrong can make things even worse. The only purpose in communicating with an angry person is to prevent a further escalation. Sometimes it is better to say nothing. Take time to choose words carefully, rather than say the wrong thing and provoke a further escalation. The use of emotion coaching language/scripts to validate pupils’ emotional state should be used. The time to review what has happened and look at ways of putting things right, is after everyone has completely calmed down and recovered.   
      
       
      
       
      
    **7.5 The Principle of Last Resort**   
      
    Staff should only use physical restraint when there is no other realistic alternative. This does not mean that we always expect people to methodically work their way through a series of failing strategies before attempting an intervention in which they have some confidence. Nor does it mean always waiting until the danger is acute and imminent, by which time the prospect of safely managing it may be significantly reduced. It does mean that staff should conduct a dynamic risk assessment and choose the safest alternative available. This includes thinking creatively about any alternative to physical intervention which may be effective. National guidance is clear on this point.   
      
       
      
    *“If necessary, staff have the authority to take immediate action to prevent harm occurring even if harm is expected to happen sometime in the predicted future.”*   
      
    Para 10 Page 4 Department of Health – 1997- ‘The Control of Children in Public Care: Interpretation of the Children Act 1989’ – London H M S O   
      
       
      
    **7.6 Proactive Physical Interventions**   
      
    It is sometimes reasonable to use physical controls to prevent extreme behaviour from becoming dangerous. If this is part of a planned response, it should be an agreed part of the Support to Self-Regulate Plan. Examples of proactive approaches might be where a pupil has shown ritual patterns of behaviour, which in the past have led to the child becoming distressed and violent. In such circumstances it may be reasonable to withdraw the child to a safer place when the pattern of behaviour begins, rather than wait until the child is distressed and out of control. The paramount consideration is that any action is taken in the interest of the child and that it reduces, rather than increases risk.m  
    **8. Post Incident Support for Pupils and Staff**   
      
      
      
    Following an incident, the school should offer support to all involved. People take time to recover from a serious incident. Until the incident has subsided, the priority is to reduce risk and calm the situation down. Staff should avoid saying or doing anything which could inflame the recovery phase. Immediate action should be taken to ensure medical help is sought if there are any injuries which require more than basic first aid. All injuries should be reported and recorded on Every.  
       
      
    Following an incident, consideration may be given to conducting a further risk assessment, reviewing the Support to Self-Regulate Plan, school behaviour policy or the positive handling policy. Consideration will be given to the 6 stages of crisis and restorative practices will be implemented to support pupil re-engagement. Any further action in relation to a member of staff / employee, or an individual pupil will follow the appropriate procedures.   
      
       
      
    **9. Complaints**   
      
      
      
    Parents and pupils have a right to complain about actions taken by school staff.   
      
       
      
    It is not uncommon for a pupil to make allegations of inappropriate or excessive use of force following an incident. The school has a formal complaints procedure. Pupils should be reminded of the procedure and encouraged to use the appropriate channels. The Trust’s complaints policy [www.eat.uk.com/about/pcan](http://www.eat.uk.com/about/pcan) applies equally to staff/employees. The Trust’s policy is open and promotes transparency in order to protect the interests of pupils and staff.   
      
       
      
    The Trust follows the guidance within *Safeguarding Children and Safer Recruitment in Education.* Any staff concerns regarding the welfare of children should be taken to the Designated Safeguarding Lead. Any safety concerns should be reported to the designated person for Health and Safety.
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